Case 1:07-cv-06394-RMB Document 15 F

Filed 03/03/2008

Page 1 of 2

Case 1:07-cv-06394-RMB

Document 14

Filed 02/29/2008

Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DATE FILED: 3-3-08

ALLEN B. BRESLOW

Attorney at Law

6165 Jericho Turripike
Commack, New York 11725
Teiepnone (631) 543-1191 ◇ Fax (631) 543-8305
Cell phone (631) 697-0117
E-mail: <u>abresiowajoriaws.com</u>
Website: <u>www.bresiowagoriaws.com</u>

February 29, 2008 MEMO ENDORSED

BY UPS NEXT DAY AIR AND ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Richard M. Berman United States District Court Southern District of New York 500 Pearl Street
New York, New York 10007

Re: Nephty Cruz et al. v. Independent Testing Laboratories

Case Number: 07 CV 6394(RMB)(DFE)

Dear Judge Berman:

I represent the Defendant in the above matter. On July 12, 2007, Plaintiffs' Trustees of multi-employer benefit plans commenced this action for collection of allegedly due employee fringe benefit contributions and demanded an audit under ERISA. An Answer has been duly filed and this matter has been placed on the calendar for a pre-trial conference with Your Honor on a number of occasions. The last requested adjournment was granted by the court until March 4, 2008.

One of the claims in the Complaint was that the Funds sought an audit of my client's books and records. The audit was conducted in October 2007. Plaintiffs transmitted the audit findings to Defendant and my client timely rebutted the findings. Plaintiffs' accountants are currently reviewing the rebuttal submitted by my client. I received word from counsel for Plaintiffs that counsel is attempting to obtain more specific responses from the auditors to my letters of December 19, 2007 and January 11, 2008 objecting to their calculations.

In the meantime, Plaintiffs' original claim as a result of the audit was approximately \$35,000. After preliminary discussions, Plaintiffs have revised their claim downward to approximately \$25,000. Some progress is being made, but my client believes that the amounts owed, if any, are substantially below the amount claimed and has provided information to the auditors to support its contention.

Your Honor's Pre-Trial Order required the parties and the principals to appear before Your Honor on March 4, 2008. While it is sometimes appropriate for the principals to be present in court, I believe that step is premature since the parties are making progress on their own, without taking up the court's time.

Case 1:07-cv-06394-RMB

Document 15

Filed 03/03/2008

Page 2 of 2

Case 1:07-cv-06394-RMB

Document 14

Filed 02/29/2008

Page 2 of 2

ALLEN BRESLOW Attorney at Law

The Honorable Judge Richard Berman February 29, 2008 Page 2

I therefore request a thirty-day adjournment of the pre-trial conference. Counsel for Plaintiffs consents to such an adjournment to allow the auditors to provide Plaintiffs' counsel with specific responses to Defendant's contentions.

In addition, a complication has arisen and I am required to be in Nassau Supreme Court on Fuesday, March 4, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. in a matter in which I am a plaintiff. That Court's requirement that I be present was made without my knowledge of the conflicting dates.

Should the Court not grant the parties' request for a 30-day adjournment of the initial Pre-Trial Conference, I respectfully request, in view of my personal situation, the initial conference be adjourned for one week to Friday, March 14, 2003 and that the parties' principals not be required to attend the Conference since settlement cannot be reasonably explored until the audit findings are finalized.

I thank you the Court for its accommodation to the parties' request. Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Conta Jacker

Allen Breslow

cc: For Plaintiffs: Marc Tenenbaum, Esq. (via email transmittal)
Client

Adjumed to 4/4/08  9:15 A.M. with  proupole	
SO ORDERED:  Date: 3/3/08  Richard M. Berman, U.S.D.	- - J.